

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/545,524	HABERMAN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
IGOR BORISSOV	3628	

All Participants:

(1) IGOR BORISSOV.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Representative George S. Haight IV.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08/04/2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

Independent Claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Cumulative teaching of prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/IGOR BORISSOV/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the Interview Examiner indicated that Applicant's arguments presented on on pages 8-9, of the response filed 06/09/2010 are deemed to be persuasive. Furthermore, changes to the claim language were discussed in order to clarify patentable subject matter and avoid issues under 35 USC 112 and 101. Finally, the Representative gave authorization for the Examiner Amendment to thereby place the claims into the condition of allowance.